Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/567,960	BAUER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LAKIYA ROGERS	3744	
	10/567,960 Examiner	10/567,960 BAUER ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

	LAKIYA ROGERS	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.		
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as	
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	lience with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	e of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ripliant Amendment (F10L-324).	
5. ☐ Application by the 3 determine the blowning operating to the submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-22</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. Other:	(
/Cheryl J. Tyler/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744	/Lakiya Rogers/ Examiner, Art Unit 3744			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment in claim 14 overcomes the claim objection in the Office Action mailed 3/24/2009, but does not change the scope of the claims. The applicant argues that the first and second assemblies taught by Simmons et al. (wo 03/01/2350) are not remote from one another. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees. For the purpose of the examination the word remote means situated at some distance away as stated in the final rejection, and Simmons teaches the second assembly remotely located from the first assembly in Fig. 1.